

Summary of DEO's Scrutiny Report from the State of **UTTARAKHAND** General Elections to the **HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE** Year **2019**

Category-1-Candidates where no defects pointed out by the DEO in the DEO's Scrutiny Report						
Sl. NO	District	No.& Name Of PC	Name of Candidate	Returned or Non-returned	Party Affiliation/ Independent	Remarks
1	Pauri Garhwal	02-Garhwal	Tirath Singh Rawat	R	Bharatiya Janata Party	
			Manish Khanduri	NR	Indian National Congress	
			Dilender Pal Singh	NR	Uttarakhand Kranti Dal (Democratic)	
			Dr. Mukesh Semwal	NR	Socialist Unity Centre of India (Communist)	
			Bhagwat Prasad	NR	Independent	
			Total	05	05	
Category-2-Candidates who failed to lodge their accounts of election expenses						
Sl. NO	District	No.& Name Of PC	Name of Candidate	Returned or Non-returned	Party Affiliation/ Independent	Remarks
1	Pauri Garhwal	02-Garhwal	Anandmani dutt Joshi	NR	Independent	
			Dr. Ramendra Singh Bhandari	NR	Independent	
			Vinod Prasad Notiyal	NR	Independent	
			Total	03	03	
Category-3- Candidates who failed to lodge their accounts within prescribed time of 30 days of declaration of results						
Sl. NO	District	No.& Name Of PC	Name of Candidate	Returned or Non-returned	Party Affiliation/ Independent	Remarks (mention (i) last date for submission of accounts, (ii) date of submission of accounts and the number of days delayed, (iii) reason for late submission if obtained by the DEO)
1	Pauri Garhwal	02-Garhwal	Nil	Nil	Nil	
			Total	Nil	Nil	
Category-4- Candidates who failed to lodge their accounts of election expenses in the manner required under law (cases other than Category 2&3)						
Sl. No	District	No.& Name Of PC	Name of Candidate	Returned or Non-returned	Party Affiliation/ Independent	Remarks (mention the deficiencies found and amount of understatement any)
1	Pauri Garhwal	02-Garhwal	Shanti Prasad Bhatt	NR	Uttarakhand Kranti Dal	Election Expenditure not incurred through Bank Account.
			Total	01	01	

Category-5- Candidates who failed to lodge their accounts in time and manner (cases other than Category 2,3& 4)						
Sl. No	District	No.& Name Of PC	Name of Candidate	Returned or Non-returned	Party Affiliation/ Independent	Remarks (mention (i) last date for submission of accounts, (ii) date of submission of accounts and the for late submission if obtained by the DEO, (iv) the deficiencies found and amount of understatement, if any)
1	Pauri Garhwal	02-Garhwal	Nil	Nil	Nil	
			Total	Nil	Nil	


Chief Electoral Officer
Uttarakhand.

संख्या 5516 // कार्यालय जिला निर्वाचन अधिकारी, पौड़ी गढ़वाल //
/29-निर्वाचन व्यय लेखा/2019 दिनांक 4 जुलाई, 2019

सेवा में,

सचिव एवं
मुख्य निर्वाचन अधिकारी,
उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।

विषय:- 02 गढ़वाल संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र से लोक सभा सामान्य निर्वाचन- 2019 में निर्वाचन लड़ने वाले अभ्यर्थियों द्वारा निर्वाचन व्यय लेखा जमा करने के सम्बन्ध में सूचना का प्रेषण।


महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयक 02 गढ़वाल संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र से लोक सभा सामान्य निर्वाचन - 2019 में निम्न निर्वाचन लड़ने वाले अभ्यर्थियों द्वारा अपना निर्वाचन व्यय लेखा आयोग के निर्देशानुसार निर्धारित प्रारूप पर इस कार्यालय में जमा किया गया है जिसका विवरण निम्नानुसार है:-

क्र० सं०	संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र की संख्या व नाम	निर्वाचन लड़ने वाले अभ्यर्थियों की कुल संख्या	निर्वाचन लड़ने वाले समस्त अभ्यर्थियों का नाम एवं पता	अभ्यर्थी द्वारा अपना निर्वाचन व्यय लेखा निर्धारित समय के अन्तर्गत जमा करवा लिया गया है हॉ/नहीं
1	2	3	4	5
1	02-गढ़वाल संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	09	तीरथ सिंह रावत, ग्राम व पो0- सीरौं, पट्टी-असवालस्यूं, पौड़ी गढ़वाल	हॉ
2			मनीष खण्डूड़ी, विकास मार्ग, वार्ड नं० 7, पौड़ी जिला-पौड़ी गढ़वाल उत्तराखण्ड	हॉ
3			दिलेन्द्र पाल सिंह, गंगा इनक्लेव लेन नं०-1 दून यूनिवर्सिटी रोड केदारपुरम, देहरादून	हॉ
4			डा० मुकेश सेमवाल, ग्राम- टेमरिया, पो०ओ०- भीरी, जिला- रुद्रप्रयाग	हॉ
5			शान्ति प्रसाद भट्ट, C-3 पी०डब्ल्यू०डी० कालोनी ईदगाह देहरादून	हॉ
6			आनन्दमणी दत्त जोशी, ग्राम-सिरोसैण (मठोली), पो०ओ०- सिमली, तहसील-कर्णप्रयाग, जिला-चमोली	नहीं
7			भागवत प्रसाद, ग्राम-मींग, पो०-मींग गदेरा, पट्टी- बधाण, तह०-थराली, जिला-चमोली	हॉ
8			डा० रामेन्द्र सिंह भण्डारी, मकान सं० 173, गणेश बाजार श्रीनगर, पौड़ी गढ़वाल	नहीं
9			विनोद प्रसाद नौटियाल, 105 ग्राम-मेहुवाला माफी अंशिक, पो०-मेहुवाला, तह०-देहरादून, जिला-देहरादून	नहीं

अतः उक्तानुसार सूचना निर्धारित प्रारूप में संलग्न कर मूल रूप में सादर प्रेषित है।
संलग्न:-उक्तानुसार मूल में

भवदीय,


जिलाधिकारी/
जिला निर्वाचन अधिकारी,
पौड़ी गढ़वाल।

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATE

(a) No. and Name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency : 02 Garhwal

(b) Total No. of Contesting Candidates: 09

(c) State and District: Uttarakhand, Pauri


(d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye election: 23-05-2019

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 22-06-2019

(f) Name of the elected Candidate- Tirath Singh Rawat


1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10		11
S.No.	Name of the candidate and party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether account lodged in the prescribed format(YES/NO)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes/No)	Grand total of the Expenses incurred/authorized by the candidate/agent(As mentioned in part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure(Should be similar to points no.22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C3)	Total Expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)	Total Expenses incurred by others/entities (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)	Remarks of the Expenditure Observer		
								Lump Sum amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash/cheque given to the candidate(and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	Tirath Singh Rawat (BJP)	22-06-19	18-06-19	YES	YES	6985941	YES	5000000	0	1745000*	0	
2	Manish Khanduri (INC)	22-06-19	18-06-19	YES	YES	6820817	YES	5000000	0	200000#	0	
3	Shanti Prasad Bhatt (UKD)	22-06-19	18-06-19	YES	YES	283410	YES	0	0	0	0	Candidate expenses are not routed through bank account.
4	Dilender Pal Singh (UKDD)	22-06-19	18-06-19	YES	YES	98200	YES	0	0	71000	0	
5	Bhagwat Prasad	22-06-19	18-06-19	YES	YES	90859	YES	0	0	0	0	

6	Ramender Singh Bhandari	22-06-19	Not submitted	NO	NO	37000 (as per SOR)	YES	0	0	0	0	
7	Vinod Prasad Nautiyal	22-06-19	Not submitted	NO	NO	172070 (as per SOR)	YES	0	0	0	0	
8	Aaanand Mani Dutt Joshi	22-06-19	Not submitted	NO	NO	57580 (as per SOR)	YES	0	0	0	0	
9	Mukesh Semwal (SUCI (c))	22-06-19	18-06-19	YES	YES	85420	YES	0	0	13050	0	


 Signature of DEO
District Election Officer
 Maul Gauriwal

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any Nil

Date 26/06/2019


 Signature of the Expenditure Observer
26/06/19


18

Serial number of the Candidate in summary Report of the DEO-09

Name of the State-Uttarakhand District-Pauri Election-general election to Lok Sabha


DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name and Address of Candidate	Aanand Mani Dutt Joshi
2	Political Party affiliation,if Any	Independent
3	No. and Name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	02-Garhwal
4	Name of the elected candidate	Tirath Singh Rawat
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i)Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in written	(i) Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(ii) No
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).(If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No.19)	Candidate didn't attend account reconciliation meeting, hence expenses recorded in SOR are considered as final expenses of the candidate.
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the Account	No
11	If the Candidate has lodged the Account ,date of Lodging of account by the candidate:	NA
	(i)Original Account	
	(ii) Revised Account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account Lodging in time	NA
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	As of now not lodged.
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for Explanation from the Candidate. If Not, reason thereof.	Yes
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No explanation has been filed till date.
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	As of now not lodged.
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 57580 as per SOR.
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act.1951 and C.E. Rules,1961	No
17	If not, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(xli) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	No
	(xlii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	No
	(xliii) whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	No
	(xliv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(xlv) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure)	NA

17

		bank account				
18	(xxv)	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect			NA	
	(xxvi)	Whether the candidate rectified the defect			NA	
	(xxvii)	Comments of the DEO on the above i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not			NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following				NA	
	Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/Folder of Evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understand by the candidate
	i					
	ii					
	iii					
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized person 3 times during campaign period				Absent in all three inspection and final account reconciliation meeting.	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				NA	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the Candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional languages) and mention the date of notice				NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				NA	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
	(v) DEO's comments /observation on the candidate's explanation				NA	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO) Date:				Candidate did not attend account reconciliation meeting. Signature  (Name of the DEO) District Election Officer Paul Garhwal	

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer *As the candidate did not lodge his final accounts, the expense estimated as per SOR are considered as the final expenses.*


Date: *26/06/2019*

Signature of the Expenditure Observer 

Serial number of the Candidate in summary Report of the DEO-05

Name of the State-Uttarakhand District-Pauri Election-general election to Lok Sabha


DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name and Address of Candidate	Shanti Prasad Bhatt
2	Political Party affiliation,if Any	UKD
3	No. and Name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	02-Garhwal
4	Name of the elected candidate	Tirath Singh Rawat
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i)Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in written	(i) Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(ii) Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).(If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the Account	Yes
11	If the Candidate has lodged the Account ,date of Lodging of account by the candidate: (i)Original Account (ii) Revised Account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 Original Account
12	Whether account Lodging in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for Explanation from the Candidate. If Not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 283410
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act.1951 and C.E. Rules,1961	No
17	If not, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(xxi) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(xxii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(xxiii) whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(xxiv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(xxv) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	No
18	(xiii) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Yes
	(xiv) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	No
	(xv) Comments of the DEO on tha above i.e. whether the	Defect cannot be rectified now.

		defect was rectified or not				
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following				Yes	
	Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/Folder of Evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understand by the candidate
	i					
	ii					
	iii					
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized person 3 times during campaign period				Absent in second inspection. Notice was issued by R.O.	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above ,give the following details:-				NA	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the Candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is in regional languages)and mention the date of notice				NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				NA	
	(iv) If yes , please Annex copies of such explanation received (with English translation of the same ,if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
	(v)DEO's comments /observation on the candidate's explanation				NA	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO) Date:				Yes Signature  (Name of the DEO) District Election Officer, Pauri Garhwal	

23. Comments, If any ,by the Expenditure Observer

The candidate has not routed any of its expenses through banking channel.

Date: 26/06/2019


Signature of the Expenditure Observer
26/06/19.

Serial number of the Candidate in summary Report of the DEO-02

Name of the State-Uttarakhand District-Pauri Election-general election to Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name and Address of Candidate	Manish Khanduri
2	Political Party affiliation, if Any	INC
3	No. and Name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	02-Garhwal
4	Name of the elected candidate	Tirath Singh Rawat
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in written	(i) Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(ii) Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the Account	Yes
11	If the Candidate has lodged the Account ,date of Lodging of account by the candidate: (i) Original Account (ii) Revised Account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 Original Account
12	Whether account Lodging in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for Explanation from the Candidate. If Not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 6820817
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act.1951 and C.E. Rules,1961	Yes
17	If not, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(vi) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(vii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(viii) whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(ix) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(x) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes
18	(iv) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA
	(v) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA
	(vi) Comments of the DEO on the above i.e. whether the	NA

		defect was rectified or not				
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following				Yes	
	Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/Folder of Evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understand by the candidate
	i					
	ii					
	iii					
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized person 3 times during campaign period				No	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above ,give the following details:-				NA	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the Candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional languages)and mention the date of notice				NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				NA	
	(iv) If yes , please Annex copies of such explanation received (with English translation of the same ,if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
	(v)DEO's comments /observation on the candidate's explanation				NA	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO) Date:				Yes Signature D. Ray (Name of the DEO) District Election Officer Pauri Garhwal	

23. Comments, If any ,by the Expenditure Observer Nil

Date: 26/06/2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

26/06/19

Serial number of the Candidate in summary Report of the DEO 01

Name of the State-Uttarakhand District-Pauri Election-general election to Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name and Address of Candidate	Tirath Singh Rawat
2	Political Party affiliation,if Any	BJP
3	No. and Name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	02-Garhwal
4	Name of the elected candidate	Tirath Singh Rawat
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i)Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in written	(i) Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(ii) Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).(If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the Account	Yes
11	If the Candidate has lodged the Account ,date of Lodging of account by the candidate: (i)Original Account	18-06-2019 Original Account
	(ii) Revised Account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account Lodging in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for Explanation from the Candidate. If Not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 6985941
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act.1951 and C.E. Rules,1961	Yes
17	If not, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of DAY to Day Account Register,Cash Register,Bank Register,Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) whetehr requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on tha above i.e. whether the	NA

defect was rectified or not						
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following	Yes				
	Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/Folder of Evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understand by the candidate
	i					
	ii					
	iii					
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized person 3 times during campaign period	Yes				
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. No. 19 referred to above ,give the following details:-	NA				
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the Candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA				
	(ii) If yes,then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is in regional languages)and mention the date of notice	NA				
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA				
	(iv) If yes , please Annex copies of such explanation received (with English translation of the same ,if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA				
	(v)DEO's comments /observation on the candidate's explanation	NA				
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes Signature <i>B. Ray</i> (Name of the DEO) District Election Officer Pauri Garhwal				

23. Comments, if any ,by the Expenditure Observer Nil

Date: *26/06/2019*

[Signature]
Signature of the Expenditure Observer
26/06/19

10

Serial number of the Candidate in summary Report of the DEO-04

Name of the State-Uttarakhand District-Pauri Election-general election to Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name and Address of Candidate	Dilender Pal Singh
2	Political Party affiliation,if Any	UKD (D)
3	No. and Name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	02-Garhwal
4	Name of the elected candidate	Tirath Singh Rawat
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i)Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in written	(i) Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(ii) Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).(If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the Account	Yes
11	If the Candidate has lodged the Account ,date of Lodging of account by the candidate: (i)Original Account (ii) Revised Account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 Original Account
12	Whether account Lodging in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for Explanation from the Candidate. If Not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 98200
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act.1951 and C.E. Rules,1961	Yes
17	If not, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(xvi) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(xvii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(xviii) whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(xix) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
17	(xx) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes
	(x) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA

	(xi) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA				
	(xii) Comments of the DEO on the above i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	NA				
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following	Yes				
	Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/Folder of Evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understand by the candidate
	i					
	ii					
	iii					
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized person 3 times during campaign period	Absent in first and second inspection. Notice was issued by the R.O.				
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	NA				
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the Candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA				
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is in regional languages) and mention the date of notice	NA				
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA				
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA				
	(v) DEO's comments /observation on the candidate's explanation	NA				
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes Signature B. Raj (Name of the DEO) District Election Office Pauri Garhwal				

23. Comments, If any, by the Expenditure Observer Nil

Date: 26/06/2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

26/06/19

Serial number of the Candidate in summary Report of the DEO-06

Name of the State-Uttarakhand District-Pauri Election-general election to Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name and Address of Candidate	Bhagwat Prasad
2	Political Party affiliation,if Any	Independent
3	No. and Name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	02-Garhwal
4	Name of the elected candidate	Tirath Singh Rawat
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i)Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in written	(i) Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(ii) Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).(If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the Account	Yes
11	If the Candidate has lodged the Account ,date of Lodging of account by the candidate: (i)Original Account (ii) Revised Account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 Original Account
12	Whether account Lodging in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for Explanation from the Candidate. If Not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 90859
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act.1951 and C.E. Rules,1961	Yes
17	If not, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(xxvi) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(xxvii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(xxviii) whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(xxix) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(xxx) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes
18	(xvi) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Yes
	(xvii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA
	(xviii) Comments of the DEO on the above i.e. whether the	NA

defect was rectified or not						
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following	Yes				
	Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/Folder of Evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understand by the candidate
	i					
	ii					
	iii					
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized person 3 times during campaign period	Absent in all three inspection. Notice was issued by R.O.				
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above ,give the following details:-	NA				
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the Candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA				
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is in regional languages)and mention the date of notice	NA				
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA				
	(iv) If yes , please Annex copies of such explanation received (with English translation of the same ,if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA				
	(v)DEO's comments /observation on the candidate's explanation	NA				
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes Signature b Ray (Name of the DEO) District Election Officer Paul Gartiwal				


23. Comments, If any ,by the Expenditure Observer Nil

Date: 26/06/2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer
26/06/19


Name of the State-Uttarakhand District-Pauri Election-General Election to Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name and Address of Candidate	Ramender Singh Bhandari
2	Political Party affiliation,if Any	Independent
3	No. and Name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	02-Garhwal
4	Name of the elected candidate	Tirath Singh Rawat
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i)Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in written	(i) Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(ii) No
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).(If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No.19)	Candidate did not attend account reconciliation meeting, hence the expenses recorded in SOR are considered as final expenses of the candidate.
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the Account	No
11	If the Candidate has lodged the Account ,date of Lodging of account by the candidate: (i)Original Account (ii) Revised Account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account Lodging in time	NA
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for Explanation from the Candidate. If Not, reason thereof.	Yes
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No explanation has been filed till date
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 37000 as per SOR.
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act.1951 and C.E. Rules,1961	No
17	If not, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(xxxvi) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	No
	(xxxvii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	No
	(xxxviii) whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	No
	(xxxix) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
(xl) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA	
18	(xxii) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA
	(xxiii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA

	(xxiv) Comments of the DEO on th above i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	NA				
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following	NA				
	Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/Folder of Evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understand by the candidate
	i					
	ii					
	iii					
	Total					
20	Did the candidate produce his register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized person 3 times during campaign period	Absent in first and third inspection.				
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. No. 19 referred to above ,give the following details:-	NA				
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the Candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA				
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is in regional languages)and mention the date of notice	NA				
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA				
	(iv) If yes , please Annex copies of such explanation received (with English translation of the same ,if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA				
	(v)DEO's comments /observation on the candidate's explanation	NA				
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO) Date:	Candidate did not attend account reconciliation meeting Signature  (Name of the DEO) District Election Officer Paul Garhwal				

23. Comments, If any ,by the Expenditure Observer *As the candidate did not lodge his final accounts; the expenses estimated as per SOR are considered as the final expenses.*

Date: *26/06/2019.*


Signature of the Expenditure Observer

26/06/2019

Serial number of the Candidate in summary Report of the DEO-07

Name of the State-Uttarakhand District-Pauri Election General Election to Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name and Address of Candidate	Vinod Prasad Nautiyal
2	Political Party affiliation, if Any	Independent
3	No. and Name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	02-Garhwal
4	Name of the elected candidate	Tirath Singh Rawat
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in written	(i) Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(ii) No
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No.19)	Candidate did not attend account reconciliation meeting, hence the expenses recorded in SOR are considered as final expenses of candidate.
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the Account	No
11	If the Candidate has lodged the Account, date of Lodging of account by the candidate:	NA
	(i) Original Account (ii) Revised Account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account Lodging in time	NA
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for Explanation from the Candidate. If Not, reason thereof.	Yes
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No explanation has been filed till date.
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 172070
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act.1951 and C.E. Rules,1961	No, Not Lodged
17	If not, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(xxxix) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	No
	(xxxix) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	No
	(xxxix) whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	No
	(xxxix) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(xxxix) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18	(xix) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate	NA

3

	for rectifying the defect					
	(xx)	Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA
	(xxi)	Comments of the DEO on the above i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following					NA
	Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/Folder of Evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understand by the candidate
	i					
	ii					
	iii					
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized person 3 times during campaign period				No ,absent in all three inspection and final account reconciliation meeting.	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. No. 19 referred to above ,give the following details:-				NA	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the Candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is in regional languages)and mention the date of notice				NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				NA	
	(iv) If yes , please Annex copies of such explanation received (with English translation of the same ,if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
	(v)DEO's comments /observation on the candidate's explanation				NA	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO) Date:				Candidate did not attend account reconciliation meeting Signature D. Ray (Name of the DEO) District Election Office Pauri Garhwal	

23. Comments, If any ,by the Expenditure Observer *As the candidate did not lodge his final accounts. the expense estimated as per SOR are considered as the final expenses.*

Date: *26/06/2019*

[Signature]
Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Serial number of the Candidate in summary Report of the DEO-08

26/06/19

Serial number of the Candidate in summary Report of the DEO-03

Name of the State-Uttarakhand District-Pauri Election-general election to Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name and Address of Candidate	Mukesh Semwal
2	Political Party affiliation,if Any	SUCI (c)
3	No. and Name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	02-Garhwal
4	Name of the elected candidate	Tirath Singh Rawat
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i)Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in written	(i) Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(ii) Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).(If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the Account	Yes
11	If the Candidate has lodged the Account ,date of Lodging of account by the candidate: (i)Original Account (ii) Revised Account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 Original Account
12	Whether account Lodging in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for Explanation from the Candidate. If Not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 85420
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act.1951 and C.E. Rules,1961	Yes
17	If not, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(xi) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(xii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(xiii) whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(xiv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(xv) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes
18	(vii) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA

1

	(viii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA				
	(ix) Comments of the DEO on the above i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	NA				
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following					Yes
	Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/Folder of Evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understand by the candidate
	i					
	ii					
	iii					
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized person 3 times during campaign period					Absent in first and third inspection. Notice was issued by R.O.
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. No. 19 referred to above ,give the following details:-					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the Candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is in regional languages)and mention the date of notice					NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					NA
	(iv) If yes , please Annex copies of such explanation received (with English translation of the same ,if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v)DEO's comments /observation on the candidate's explanation					NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO) Date:					Yes Signature <i>B. Ray</i> (Name of the DEO) District Election Officer Paul Garhwal

23. Comments, If any ,by the Expenditure Observer Nil

Date: 26/06/2019.

[Signature]
Signature of the Expenditure Observer
26/06/19